



I'm not robot



Continue

observational boxes and all square angle action boxes. The treatment of any resuscitation emergency can be mapped into a series of steps managed by this value, with repeated loops and reassessments. The philosophy of Algorithmic Algorithms has grown to mean different things to various resuscitation boards around the world. They mean different things for the training network in the resuscitation board. In some resuscitation boards, algorithms are designed to sift through important information about the identification and treatment of problems to their essence — such concise displays target novice practitioners and encourage experts to provide their own details or additional information. Such an approach was favored by Dr Walter Kloock, National Chairman of the South African Resuscitation Council. Dr Kloock's sparse and clean design aims to illustrate the most common assessments and actions taken for most patients. This algorithm is designed for early learners or CPR, ECC, and ACLS learners. This simple elegant teaching material style has dominated the teaching materials of many international resuscitation boards.7BA1 at the same time, in the AHA algorithms come to be used by experienced instructors and doctors as teaching tools. The training network began to ask for more inclusion and more details for to a wider range of clinical situations with more and more information for doctors and for ACLS instructors. These algorithms, although more complex, are considered more useful during actual resuscitation and more useful for teaching the scope of resuscitation practices. Clearly, every approach—concise versus complex—has its benefits. When the first international algorithm was developed for the ILCOR Advisory Statement, the differences in algorithmic approach became apparent.8B Since ILCOR's advisory statements are evidence-based consensus documents, the emerging algorithms have reserves. They are limited to the points of assessment and treatment of existing absolute agreement. The algorithms contained in the International Guidelines 2000 represent the second iteration of international algorithms, developed by scientists at the Evidence Evaluation Conference and at the 2000 Guidelines Conference. They represent a compromise between the concise approach of many international resuscitation councils and the detailed approach favoured within the AHA. Details are provided in the text and are pulled out of the main body of the algorithm. The application of Algorithmic Algorithms is designed to serve as adjunct-mémoire, to remind doctors of important aspects of assessment and therapy. They are not designed to be comprehensive or restrictive. Doctors should always determine whether the algorithm is appropriate for the patient and should be prepared to deviate from the algorithm if the patient's condition warrants. The algorithm should be considered a common recipe of a valued grandmother — the general guiding principles are there, but her wealth will be in individual applications. Algorithms may provide recipes but they still require chefs to think (see Table 4). Algorithms are described in sequential formats. However, this is misleading because in most situations multiple service providers resuscitation is present, and many assessments and interventions are achieved simultaneously. Many of these algorithms contain notes on assessment and evaluation that should be considered throughout resuscitation (for example, verifying the proper placement of tracheal tubes, identifying and treating reversible causes). Students and doctors are not expected to memorize the algorithm in detail. They are expected to consult the algorithm. It is expected that a copy of this algorithm will be available in the course and a written evaluation for the course. The reasons for this approach are realistic and professional, based on established principles of adult education. The doctor should know where to find the right information and how to apply it. Algorithms are intended to lead physicians along the lines of assessment and intervention during resuscitation experiences. Icor algorithm presents the actions to be taken and decisions that must be faced for everyone who seems to be at heart unresponsive, with no signs of life. The victim is not breathing normally, and no rescuer can feel a carotid pulse within 10 to 15 seconds. Since 1992 the resuscitation community has examined and reaffirmed the wisdom of the most of the recommendations formulated by international groups until the 1990s. Sophisticated clinical trials provide high levels of evidence in which to base some new drugs and interventions. Finally, we have learned that we must continue to place a strong emphasis after 2000 on establishing a critically acclaimed international scientific evidence base. Evidence-based reviews open many eyes; only a small part of resuscitation treatments are focused on the basis of strong evidence. Note: The numbers below, such as 1 (Figure 1), match the numbers in the algorithm. Figure 1: ILCORFigure 1 Universal/International ACLS Algorithm, ILCOR Universal/International ACLS Algorithm, and Figure 2, Comprehensive ECC Algorithm, are innovative efforts to unify and simplify important information of adult ACLS. They showed integration of BLS measures, initial defibrillation, and ACLS. The ILCOR algorithm (Figure 1) shows how the overall approach can be presented, with minimum elaboration of separate measures. The Comprehensive ECC Algorithm (Figure 2) provides more details, primarily to support the AHA teaching approach based on the Primary and Secondary ABCD Survey. Both of these algorithms illustrate many new concepts and interventions since 1992. Note to ILCOR Universal/International ACLS Algorithm: algorithm1 (Figure 1)BLS. Simple instructions BLS algorithm directs rescuers to start 6 basic steps international BLS algorithm. Check responsiveness Open airway Respiratory Check Give 2 breaths effectively Assess circulation Chest compresses (no signs of circulation detected)Note that step 6 does not use the term pulse. In their 1998 BLS guidelines, the European Resuscitation Council and several ILCOR boards dropped specific references in their algorithms to check carotid pulses. They replaced pulse checks with directions to check for signs of circulation, that is, looking for any movements, including swallowing or breathing (more than occasional gasps). Their guidelines instruct lifesavers to check carotid pulses as one of the signs of circulation, but pulse checks do not accept the prominent emphasis derived from inclusions in the algorithm. By 2000 many locations had confirmed the success of this European approach. Additional evidence has accumulated that pulse examination is not a good diagnostic test for the presence or absence of a beating heart. After an international panel of experts reviewed the evidence at the 2000 Guidelines Conference, they also supported the approach of eliminating pulse checks for layman of the International Guidelines 2000.2 (Figure 1)Attach defibrillator/monitor; assess the rhythm. After respondents started the BLS algorithm, they to attach a defibrillator/monitor and assess the rhythm.3 (Figure 1)V/FV/T without pulse. If they use conventional defibrillators and monitors display VF, rescuers try defibrillation, up to 3 times more is required. If using an AED, the rescuer follows the device's signal and sound prompt, attempting defibrillation with up to 3 shocks. After 3 shocks they should immediately resume CPR for at least 1 minute. At the end of the minute, they have to repeat the rhythm and shock assessment when appropriate.4 (Figure 1)Non-VF rhythm. If a conventional defibrillator/monitor displays non-VF tracheal tube or no surprise AED signal indicated, respondents should immediately check the pulse to determine if an indetectable rhythm produces spontaneous circulation. If not, then start CPR; continue CPR for approximately 3 minutes. With non-VF rhythms lifesavers need to go back and re-examine the rhythm for repeating VF or for spontaneously organized rhythmic return in a beating heart. At this point the algorithm enters the comment center column.5 (Figure 1)During CPR: placement of the tracheal tube; IV access. In this period the rescuer has many tasks to complete. The central column includes the main interventions of ACLS: placing and confirming tracheal tubes, starting an IV, providing the right remedy for rhythm, and finding and repairing reversible causes. Note that the ECC Comprehensive Algorithm (Figure 2) conveys this same approach using the help of Secondary ABCD Survey memory. In this survey A=advanced airway (placement of tracheal tubes); B=confirm tube location, oxygenation, and ventilation; and access to circulation C=through IV lines and circulatory drugs 6 (Figure 1)V/FV/T refractory to initial shocks: epinephrine or vasopressin. Icor's Universal algorithm shows that response personnel give all heart attack patients a strong vasopressor, either epinephrine IV or vasopressin. This recommendation for vasopressin is one of the more interesting new guidelines. Discussions about adding amiodarone are detailed later in this section. Consider buffers, antiarrhythmic, pacing, atropine, find and fix reversible causes. This brief phrase includes many of the interventions discussed and debated during the International Evidence Evaluation Conference and 2000 Guidelines Conference: some antiarrhythmic, neutralizing acidosis, and transcutaneous pacing. The word consider has become an informal code in the resuscitation community that is interpreted to mean that we have no evidence that defines one intervention as superior to the other. Whether this means that two interventions are equally effective or equally ineffective is a constantly waged debate in resuscitation research.7 (Figure 1)Consider potentially reversible causes. These guidelines apply primarily to non-VF/VT patients. For groups there are often specific causes of effective loss of heart rate. Effective. The 2000 International Guidelines take innovative steps to list the 10 most common reversible causes of non-VF/VVT capture at the bottom of the algorithm. This is discussed in detail in the section on electrical activity without a pulse. End Algorithm NotesFigure 2: Comprehensive ECC AlgorithmBoth universal ILCOR algorithm and Comprehensive ECC Algorithm (Figure 2) conveys the concept that all heart attack victims are in 1 of 2 rhythms: VF/VT rhythm and non-VF rhythm. Non-VF consists of asystole and PEA, which are treated equally. Therefore, there is no important need to separate the subject into VF, VT without pulse, PEA, or asystole. All heart attack victims received 4 of the same treatment intubation CPRTracheal/VasoconstrictorsAntiarrhythmics The only distinguishing treatment for arrest victims is that rescuers treat VF/VT patients with defibrillator shocks. The algorithms in Figures 1 and 2 show simple concepts. Icor Universal Algorithm and Comprehensive ECC Algorithm are the only teaching/learning saves need because they treat everyone in a heart attack in this way. Note to Comprehensive ECC Algorithm 1 (Figure 2)Start primary ABCD Survey. Unresponsive; not breathing. Boxes 1 and 2 cover the steps of the BLS Algorithm and include the Main ABCD Survey. This survey is a memory aid and does not convey therapeutic values as stated and displayed. Primary and Secondary ABCD surveys are simple mnemonics that aid early learning. They also provide a useful mental hook to review and recall later. Listing further details in the algorithm provides an easy review of the steps, especially when the student has not participated regularly in the actual resuscitation effort.2 (Figure 2)V/FV/T: defibrillation efforts (up to 3 shocks if VF persists). Advanced rhythm and CPR assessments are at the center of the Comprehensive ECC Algorithm. The metaphor of the clock beating away for heart attack victims in VF is over-used but accurate. With every minute of persistent VF, the probability of survival decreases. Two hours of racing. One of them is a clock that measures therapeutic intervals (from collapse to the arrival of defibrillators). One of them is a clock that measures irreversible intervals of damage (from the cessation of blood flow to the beginning of permanent irreversible brain death). Here are observations that will put the racing clock into perspective. Some experts have observed that a significant amount of time and money is spent on the development of new defibrillation waveforms, new antiarrhythmics, innovative vasopressors, and fresh approaches to ventilation and oxygenation. The total combined effect on the survival of this intervention equates to nothing more than cutting the interval from collapse to defibrillator shock by 2 (Figure 2) Figure 1Non-VF/VT. ILCOR's recommendation is to consider non-VF/VT rhythms as a single rhythm when a patient is in cardiac arrest. Consider non-VF/VT as asystole or PEA. Treatments in the algorithm are the same for both: epinephrine, atropine, transcutaneous pacing. Electrical activity on the monitor screen is a more positive rhythm than asystole. Later in this discussion PEA and asystole are presented in more detail. Both rhythms have differential diagnoses in terms of what entities can produce PEA and asystole rhythms. Respondents should aggressively evaluate PEA victims to find potential reversible causes. There are narrow diagnostic intervals of only a few minutes on PEA discovery. Asystole, on the other hand, is rarely saved unless reversible causes (e.g., severe hyperkalemia, fenofibrate overdoses) are found. Only occasionally does asystole respond to epinephrine in higher doses, atropine, or pacing, as patients are only destined to die, given the nature of the original rainfall event.4 (Figure 2)Secondary ABCD Survey. Use of vasopressor: epinephrine for non-VF/VT, vasopressin for VF reflector. This section of the algorithm makes the same points about persistent capture of VF/VT and non-VF/VT as ILCOR Universal Algorithms. The ECC Comprehensive Algorithm, however, uses the help of Secondary ABCD Survey memory, a device that is repeated across all heart attack algorithms. Note the algorithm expanded on these concepts.5 (Figure 2)Possible reversible causes. Sudden VF/VT capture is very easy in their management. Management consists of initial defibrillation, which can succeed independently of other interventions and independently discover the cause of arrhythmias. However, with non-VF/VT capture, successful spontaneous pulse recovery is almost entirely dependent on recognizing and treating potentially reversible causes. As mémoire's aide, Figure 1 places the following list, referred to as 5 H's and 5 T's, in the algorithmic layout: 5 H Hypovolemia/Hypoxia/Hydrogen ions (acidosis)Hyperkalemia/hypokalemia and metabolic disordersHypothermia/hyperthermiaThe 5 T Toxins/succillid drugsTamponade, heart tension pneumothoraxThrombosis, coronaryThrombosis, PneuFigure 2, Comprehensive ECC Algorithm, expands the table of reversible causes by listing possible therapeutic interventions next to each potential cause. Consider: Do any of the following conditions play a role? Hypovolemia (volume infusion)Hypoxia (oxygen, ventilation)Hydrogen ions—acidosis (buffer, ventilation)Hyperkalemia (CaCl plus others)Hypothermia (see Hypothermia Algorithm in Part 8)TAblets (drug overdoses, accident)Tamponade, heart (perikarditis)Tension pneumothorax (decompression—need oxygen (fibrinolytics)Thrombosis, lung (fibrinolytics, surgical evacuation)End Algorithm Notes Newly Recommended Agent: Vasopressin for VF/VT/People knowledgeable about the ACLS recommendations during the 1990s will soon notice that recommendations for vasoconstrictor are vasopressin, epinephrine, and lidocaine. The first 3 algorithms—the Universal ILCOR algorithm, the Comprehensive ECC Algorithm, and the Ventricular Fibrillation—each contain the same recommendation for vasopressin as the epinephrine equivalent adrenergic agent for VF/VT heart attacks. This is one of the most important new recommendations in the International Guidelines 2000. Vasopressin, a natural substance of antidiuretic hormones, becomes a powerful vasoconstrictor when used at much higher doses than is usually found in the body. Vasopressin has a positive effect that duplicates the positive effects of epinephrine. Vasopressin does not duplicate the adverse effects of epinephrine. (See Pharmacology II: An Agent for Optimizing Cardiac Output and Blood Pressure for more detailed materials on vasopressin.) Vasopressin received a Class IIB recommendation (acceptable, harmless, supported by fair evidence) from an international panel of experts on adrenergic. Note that vasopressin is recommended as a single dose 1 time in humans. Vasopressin requires less administration because vasopressin 10 to 20 minutes half life is much greater than the half-part epinephrine of 3 to 5 minutes. After a single dose of vasopressin, the algorithm allows a return to the epinephrine if there is no clinical response to vasopressin. Returning to epinephrine has no specific human evidence to provide support, although at least 1 clinical trial in Europe is underway. In an informal poll of experts on the adrenergic panel, everyone received this recommendation to return to epinephrine after 10 to 20 minutes. (The possibility of a second dose of vasopressin in 10 to 20 minutes is discussed and seems rational. However, this is listed as an Inde certain Class recommendation because we lack research in humans that addresses this question.) The rather inappropriate timeframe between the dose of vasopressin and subsequent administration of epinephrine allows flexibility in decisions about when to give the next adrenergic. The dilemma is: give too quickly and cause adverse effects of excessive vasopressin; give late and the probability of a positive result vanishes. Primary and Secondary ABCD SurveysIn some locations, especially in courses for ACLS providers, learners are taught memory assistance called Primary and Secondary ABCD Surveys. These 8 measures apply to all cardiovascular cardiopulmonary emergencies. The course director created an ABCD survey to help ACLS providers remember special action measures. By memorizing 2 surveys, ACLS students learn certain actions in a specific order. Surveys use the familiar mnemonics of the first 4 letters of the alphabet, and they retain the traditional actions associated with those 4 letters:A=AirwayB=BreathingC=CirculationD=Defibrillation (or Differential Diagnosis in Secondary ABCD Surveys)Since repetition is a well-documented aid for learning, Primary and Secondary ABCD surveys repeated other algorithms: VF/VT, PEA, and asystole. Figure 3, VF/VT without pulse, conveys more details about Secondary ABCD Survey A—Airway control with endotracheal intubation = Breathing effectively (verification with confirmation of proper primary and secondary tube placement) = Circulate with IV lines, monitoring ECG access to circulation through IV lines, and then the administration of a rhythmic drug = Differentiate Diagnosis (Review for consider differential diagnosis) Improve the resuscitation team's performance. With reassurance of critical findings that interfere with this underlying the validity and credibility of study scores are the resuscitation team will identify more heart attacks with reversible causes. While we have no evidence to support the use of this memory aid, its use has a strong common sense appeal. The Secondary ABCD survey in Figure 3 states perhaps the most important new recommendations for out-of-hospital providers. We make stronger and more explicit recommendations to confirm the placement of tracheal tubes. We recommend that resuscitation personnel take special measures to prevent removal of the tube after the correct initial placement. From 1999 to 2000, publications on child resuscitation outside the hospital documented high levels of tube removal. The researchers found that on arrival and evaluation at the Emergency Department, 8% to 12% of tracheal tubes were in the esophagus or hypopharynx. Given the study's design, the researchers were unable to determine whether this possibly deadly error was caused by incorrect initial tube placement or dislodged after placement. This information has raised concerns that ACLS providers may be doing undetected damage while making our most critical interventions. Figure 3: VF/Pulseless VTFigure 3 includes VF/VT treatment without pulse in more depth than Numbers 1 and 2. Figure 3 was created as a teaching tool to convey specific details about primary and secondary ABCD surveys. The treatments described in Figures 1, 2, and 3 are identical: CPR, defibrillation if VF/VT, advanced airway control, intravenous access, rhythmic medications. Always Assume VF (Figure 1 through 3)Note that Figure 1, ILCOR Universal ACLS Algorithm, Figure 2, ECC Comprehensive Algorithm, and Figure 3, Ventricular Fibrillation/Pulseless VT Algorithm, state this teaching unequivocally: lifesavers should assume that all sudden adult heart attacks are caused by VF/VT without pulse. Therefore, all training efforts place a strong emphasis on recognition and treatment of VF/VT without pulse. Proper treatment with initial defibrillator shock allows the VF/VT without pulse to provide the majority of adult heart attack survivors. MATURE EMS systems, such as SeattleKing County, Washington, USA, have been collecting data for >25 years. Year after year VF/VT contributes 85% to 95% of survivors. Energy Levels for Waveforms Shock and Defibrillation Biphasic Biphasic Appearance Defibrillators have generated great enthusiasm in the resuscitation community. Reaching out to EMS organizations in 1996, the first biphasic defibrillator approved for the market surprised only at energy levels 1, about 170 J. Competitive market forces caused considerable controversy over the efficacy of biphasic wave shocks in general and no energy levels in particular. This unusual chapter in the history of medical device manufacturers has been reviewed in detail in the Medical Scientific Statement of the Senior Science Editor and chairs the subcommittee ECC.IC Biphasic waveforms defibrillator is conditionally acceptable — regardless of the initial shock energy level used. Here are observations that will put the racing clock into perspective. Some experts have observed that a significant amount of time and money is spent on the development of new defibrillation waveforms, new antiarrhythmics, innovative vasopressors, and fresh approaches to ventilation and oxygenation. The total combined effect on the survival of this intervention equates to nothing more than cutting the interval from collapse to defibrillator shock by 2 (Figure 2) Figure 1Non-VF/VT. ILCOR's recommendation is to consider non-VF/VT rhythms as a single rhythm when a patient is in cardiac arrest. Consider non-VF/VT as asystole or PEA. Treatments in the algorithm are the same for both: epinephrine, atropine, transcutaneous pacing. Electrical activity on the monitor screen is a more positive rhythm than asystole. Later in this discussion PEA and asystole are presented in more detail. Both rhythms have differential diagnoses in terms of what entities can produce PEA and asystole rhythms. Respondents should aggressively evaluate PEA victims to find potential reversible causes. There are narrow diagnostic intervals of only a few minutes on PEA discovery. Asystole, on the other hand, is rarely saved unless reversible causes (e.g., severe hyperkalemia, fenofibrate overdoses) are found. Only occasionally does asystole respond to epinephrine in higher doses, atropine, or pacing, as patients are only destined to die, given the nature of the original rainfall event.4 (Figure 2)Secondary ABCD Survey. Use of vasopressor: epinephrine for non-VF/VT, vasopressin for VF reflector. This section of the algorithm makes the same points about persistent capture of VF/VT and non-VF/VT as ILCOR Universal Algorithms. The ECC Comprehensive Algorithm, however, uses the help of Secondary ABCD Survey memory, a device that is repeated across all heart attack algorithms. Note the algorithm expanded on these concepts.5 (Figure 2)Possible reversible causes. Sudden VF/VT capture is very easy in their management. Management consists of initial defibrillation, which can succeed independently of other interventions and independently discover the cause of arrhythmias. However, with non-VF/VT capture, successful spontaneous pulse recovery is almost entirely dependent on recognizing and treating potentially reversible causes. As mémoire's aide, Figure 1 places the following list, referred to as 5 H's and 5 T's, in the algorithmic layout: 5 H Hypovolemia/Hypoxia/Hydrogen ions (acidosis)Hyperkalemia/hypokalemia and metabolic disordersHypothermia/hyperthermiaThe 5 T Toxins/succillid drugsTamponade, heart tension pneumothoraxThrombosis, coronaryThrombosis, PneuFigure 2, Comprehensive ECC Algorithm, expands the table of reversible causes by listing possible therapeutic interventions next to each potential cause. Consider: Do any of the following conditions play a role? Hypovolemia (volume infusion)Hypoxia (oxygen, ventilation)Hydrogen ions—acidosis (buffer, ventilation)Hyperkalemia (CaCl plus others)Hypothermia (see Hypothermia Algorithm in Part 8)TAblets (drug overdoses, accident)Tamponade, heart (perikarditis)Tension pneumothorax (decompression—need oxygen (fibrinolytics)Thrombosis, lung (fibrinolytics, surgical evacuation)End Algorithm Notes Newly Recommended Agent: Vasopressin for VF/VT/People knowledgeable about the ACLS recommendations during the 1990s will soon notice that recommendations for vasoconstrictor are vasopressin, epinephrine, and lidocaine. The first 3 algorithms—the Universal ILCOR algorithm, the Comprehensive ECC Algorithm, and the Ventricular Fibrillation—each contain the same recommendation for vasopressin as the epinephrine equivalent adrenergic agent for VF/VT heart attacks. This is one of the most important new recommendations in the International Guidelines 2000. Vasopressin, a natural substance of antidiuretic hormones, becomes a powerful vasoconstrictor when used at much higher doses than is usually found in the body. Vasopressin has a positive effect that duplicates the positive effects of epinephrine. Vasopressin does not duplicate the adverse effects of epinephrine. (See Pharmacology II: An Agent for Optimizing Cardiac Output and Blood Pressure for more detailed materials on vasopressin.) Vasopressin received a Class IIB recommendation (acceptable, harmless, supported by fair evidence) from an international panel of experts on adrenergic. Note that vasopressin is recommended as a single dose 1 time in humans. Vasopressin requires less administration because vasopressin 10 to 20 minutes half life is much greater than the half-part epinephrine of 3 to 5 minutes. After a single dose of vasopressin, the algorithm allows a return to the epinephrine if there is no clinical response to vasopressin. Returning to epinephrine has no specific human evidence to provide support, although at least 1 clinical trial in Europe is underway. In an informal poll of experts on the adrenergic panel, everyone received this recommendation to return to epinephrine after 10 to 20 minutes. (The possibility of a second dose of vasopressin in 10 to 20 minutes is discussed and seems rational. However, this is listed as an Inde certain Class recommendation because we lack research in humans that addresses this question.) The rather inappropriate timeframe between the dose of vasopressin and subsequent administration of epinephrine allows flexibility in decisions about when to give the next adrenergic. The dilemma is: give too quickly and cause adverse effects of excessive vasopressin; give late and the probability of a positive result vanishes. Primary and Secondary ABCD SurveysIn some locations, especially in courses for ACLS providers, learners are taught memory assistance called Primary and Secondary ABCD Surveys. These 8 measures apply to all cardiovascular cardiopulmonary emergencies. The course director created an ABCD survey to help ACLS providers remember special action measures. By memorizing 2 surveys, ACLS students learn certain actions in a specific order. Surveys use the familiar mnemonics of the first 4 letters of the alphabet, and they retain the traditional actions associated with those 4 letters:A=AirwayB=BreathingC=CirculationD=Defibrillation (or Differential Diagnosis in Secondary ABCD Surveys)Since repetition is a well-documented aid for learning, Primary and Secondary ABCD surveys repeated other algorithms: VF/VT, PEA, and asystole. Figure 3, VF/VT without pulse, conveys more details about Secondary ABCD Survey A—Airway control with endotracheal intubation = Breathing effectively (verification with confirmation of proper primary and secondary tube placement) = Circulate with IV lines, monitoring ECG access to circulation through IV lines, and then the administration of a rhythmic drug = Differentiate Diagnosis (Review for consider differential diagnosis) Improve the resuscitation team's performance. With reassurance of critical findings that interfere with this underlying the validity and credibility of study scores are the resuscitation team will identify more heart attacks with reversible causes. While we have no evidence to support the use of this memory aid, its use has a strong common sense appeal. The Secondary ABCD survey in Figure 3 states perhaps the most important new recommendations for out-of-hospital providers. We make stronger and more explicit recommendations to confirm the placement of tracheal tubes. We recommend that resuscitation personnel take special measures to prevent removal of the tube after the correct initial placement. From 1999 to 2000, publications on child resuscitation outside the hospital documented high levels of tube removal. The researchers found that on arrival and evaluation at the Emergency Department, 8% to 12% of tracheal tubes were in the esophagus or hypopharynx. Given the study's design, the researchers were unable to determine whether this possibly deadly error was caused by incorrect initial tube placement or dislodged after placement. This information has raised concerns that ACLS providers may be doing undetected damage while making our most critical interventions. Figure 3: VF/Pulseless VTFigure 3 includes VF/VT treatment without pulse in more depth than Numbers 1 and 2. Figure 3 was created as a teaching tool to convey specific details about primary and secondary ABCD surveys. The treatments described in Figures 1, 2, and 3 are identical: CPR, defibrillation if VF/VT, advanced airway control, intravenous access, rhythmic medications. Always Assume VF (Figure 1 through 3)Note that Figure 1, ILCOR Universal ACLS Algorithm, Figure 2, ECC Comprehensive Algorithm, and Figure 3, Ventricular Fibrillation/Pulseless VT Algorithm, state this teaching unequivocally: lifesavers should assume that all sudden adult heart attacks are caused by VF/VT without pulse. Therefore, all training efforts place a strong emphasis on recognition and treatment of VF/VT without pulse. Proper treatment with initial defibrillator shock allows the VF/VT without pulse to provide the majority of adult heart attack survivors. MATURE EMS systems, such as SeattleKing County, Washington, USA, have been collecting data for >25 years. Year after year VF/VT contributes 85% to 95% of survivors. Energy Levels for Waveforms Shock and Defibrillation Biphasic Biphasic Appearance Defibrillators have generated great enthusiasm in the resuscitation community. Reaching out to EMS organizations in 1996, the first biphasic defibrillator approved for the market surprised only at energy levels 1, about 170 J. Competitive market forces caused considerable controversy over the efficacy of biphasic wave shocks in general and no energy levels in particular. This unusual chapter in the history of medical device manufacturers has been reviewed in detail in the Medical Scientific Statement of the Senior Science Editor and chairs the subcommittee ECC.IC Biphasic waveforms defibrillator is conditionally acceptable — regardless of the initial shock energy level used. Here are observations that will put the racing clock into perspective. Some experts have observed that a significant amount of time and money is spent on the development of new defibrillation waveforms, new antiarrhythmics, innovative vasopressors, and fresh approaches to ventilation and oxygenation. The total combined effect on the survival of this intervention equates to nothing more than cutting the interval from collapse to defibrillator shock by 2 (Figure 2) Figure 1Non-VF/VT. ILCOR's recommendation is to consider non-VF/VT rhythms as a single rhythm when a patient is in cardiac arrest. Consider non-VF/VT as asystole or PEA. Treatments in the algorithm are the same for both: epinephrine, atropine, transcutaneous pacing. Electrical activity on the monitor screen is a more positive rhythm than asystole. Later in this discussion PEA and asystole are presented in more detail. Both rhythms have differential diagnoses in terms of what entities can produce PEA and asystole rhythms. Respondents should aggressively evaluate PEA victims to find potential reversible causes. There are narrow diagnostic intervals of only a few minutes on PEA discovery. Asystole, on the other hand, is rarely saved unless reversible causes (e.g., severe hyperkalemia, fenofibrate overdoses) are found. Only occasionally does asystole respond to epinephrine in higher doses, atropine, or pacing, as patients are only destined to die, given the nature of the original rainfall event.4 (Figure 2)Secondary ABCD Survey. Use of vasopressor: epinephrine for non-VF/VT, vasopressin for VF reflector. This section of the algorithm makes the same points about persistent capture of VF/VT and non-VF/VT as ILCOR Universal Algorithms. The ECC Comprehensive Algorithm, however, uses the help of Secondary ABCD Survey memory, a device that is repeated across all heart attack algorithms. Note the algorithm expanded on these concepts.5 (Figure 2)Possible reversible causes. Sudden VF/VT capture is very easy in their management. Management consists of initial defibrillation, which can succeed independently of other interventions and independently discover the cause of arrhythmias. However, with non-VF/VT capture, successful spontaneous pulse recovery is almost entirely dependent on recognizing and treating potentially reversible causes. As mémoire's aide, Figure 1 places the following list, referred to as 5 H's and 5 T's, in the algorithmic layout: 5 H Hypovolemia/Hypoxia/Hydrogen ions (acidosis)Hyperkalemia/hypokalemia and metabolic disordersHypothermia/hyperthermiaThe 5 T Toxins/succillid drugsTamponade, heart tension pneumothoraxThrombosis, coronaryThrombosis, PneuFigure 2, Comprehensive ECC Algorithm, expands the table of reversible causes by listing possible therapeutic interventions next to each potential cause. Consider: Do any of the following conditions play a role? Hypovolemia (volume infusion)Hypoxia (oxygen, ventilation)Hydrogen ions—acidosis (buffer, ventilation)Hyperkalemia (CaCl plus others)Hypothermia (see Hypothermia Algorithm in Part 8)TAblets (drug overdoses, accident)Tamponade, heart (perikarditis)Tension pneumothorax (decompression—need oxygen (fibrinolytics)Thrombosis, lung (fibrinolytics, surgical evacuation)End Algorithm Notes Newly Recommended Agent: Vasopressin for VF/VT/People knowledgeable about the ACLS recommendations during the 1990s will soon notice that recommendations for vasoconstrictor are vasopressin, epinephrine, and lidocaine. The first 3 algorithms—the Universal ILCOR algorithm, the Comprehensive ECC Algorithm, and the Ventricular Fibrillation—each contain the same recommendation for vasopressin as the epinephrine equivalent adrenergic agent for VF/VT heart attacks. This is one of the most important new recommendations in the International Guidelines 2000. Vasopressin, a natural substance of antidiuretic hormones, becomes a powerful vasoconstrictor when used at much higher doses than is usually found in the body. Vasopressin has a positive effect that duplicates the positive effects of epinephrine. Vasopressin does not duplicate the adverse effects of epinephrine. (See Pharmacology II: An Agent for Optimizing Cardiac Output and Blood Pressure for more detailed materials on vasopressin.) Vasopressin received a Class IIB recommendation (acceptable, harmless, supported by fair evidence) from an international panel of experts on adrenergic. Note that vasopressin is recommended as a single dose 1 time in humans. Vasopressin requires less administration because vasopressin 10 to 20 minutes half life is much greater than the half-part epinephrine of 3 to 5 minutes. After a single dose of vasopressin, the algorithm allows a return to the epinephrine if there is no clinical response to vasopressin. Returning to epinephrine has no specific human evidence to provide support, although at least 1 clinical trial in Europe is underway. In an informal poll of experts on the adrenergic panel, everyone received this recommendation to return to epinephrine after 10 to 20 minutes. (The possibility of a second dose of vasopressin in 10 to 20 minutes is discussed and seems rational. However, this is listed as an Inde certain Class recommendation because we lack research in humans that addresses this question.) The rather inappropriate timeframe between the dose of vasopressin and subsequent administration of epinephrine allows flexibility in decisions about when to give the next adrenergic. The dilemma is: give too quickly and cause adverse effects of excessive vasopressin; give late and the probability of a positive result vanishes. Primary and Secondary ABCD SurveysIn some locations, especially in courses for ACLS providers, learners are taught memory assistance called Primary and Secondary ABCD Surveys. These 8 measures apply to all cardiovascular cardiopulmonary emergencies. The course director created an ABCD survey to help ACLS providers remember special action measures. By memorizing 2 surveys, ACLS students learn certain actions in a specific order. Surveys use the familiar mnemonics of the first 4 letters of the alphabet, and they retain the traditional actions associated with those 4 letters:A=AirwayB=BreathingC=CirculationD=Defibrillation (or Differential Diagnosis in Secondary ABCD Surveys)Since repetition is a well-documented aid for learning, Primary and Secondary ABCD surveys repeated other algorithms: VF/VT, PEA, and asystole. Figure 3, VF/VT without pulse, conveys more details about Secondary ABCD Survey A—Airway control with endotracheal intubation = Breathing effectively (verification with confirmation of proper primary and secondary tube placement) = Circulate with IV lines, monitoring ECG access to circulation through IV lines, and then the administration of a rhythmic drug = Differentiate Diagnosis (Review for consider differential diagnosis) Improve the resuscitation team's performance. With reassurance of critical findings that interfere with this underlying the validity and credibility of study scores are the resuscitation team will identify more heart attacks with reversible causes. While we have no evidence to support the use of this memory aid, its use has a strong common sense appeal. The Secondary ABCD survey in Figure 3 states perhaps the most important new recommendations for out-of-hospital providers. We make stronger and more explicit recommendations to confirm the placement of tracheal tubes. We recommend that resuscitation personnel take special measures to prevent removal of the tube after the correct initial placement. From 1999 to 2000, publications on child resuscitation outside the hospital documented high levels of tube removal. The researchers found that on arrival and evaluation at the Emergency Department, 8% to 12% of tracheal tubes were in the esophagus or hypopharynx. Given the study's design, the researchers were unable to determine whether this possibly deadly error was caused by incorrect initial tube placement or dislodged after placement. This information has raised concerns that ACLS providers may be doing undetected damage while making our most critical interventions. Figure 3: VF/Pulseless VTFigure 3 includes VF/VT treatment without pulse in more depth than Numbers 1 and 2. Figure 3 was created as a teaching tool to convey specific details about primary and secondary ABCD surveys. The treatments described in Figures 1, 2, and 3 are identical: CPR, defibrillation if VF/VT, advanced airway control, intravenous access, rhythmic medications. Always Assume VF (Figure 1 through 3)Note that Figure 1, ILCOR Universal ACLS Algorithm, Figure 2, ECC Comprehensive Algorithm, and Figure 3, Ventricular Fibrillation/Pulseless VT Algorithm, state this teaching unequivocally: lifesavers should assume that all sudden adult heart attacks are caused by VF/VT without pulse. Therefore, all training efforts place a strong emphasis on recognition and treatment of VF/VT without pulse. Proper treatment with initial defibrillator shock allows the VF/VT without pulse to provide the majority of adult heart attack survivors. MATURE EMS systems, such as SeattleKing County, Washington, USA, have been collecting data for >25 years. Year after year VF/VT contributes 85% to 95% of survivors. Energy Levels for Waveforms Shock and Defibrillation Biphasic Biphasic Appearance Defibrillators have generated great enthusiasm in the resuscitation community. Reaching out to EMS organizations in 1996, the first biphasic defibrillator approved for the market surprised only at energy levels 1, about 170 J. Competitive market forces caused considerable controversy over the efficacy of biphasic wave shocks in general and no energy levels in particular. This unusual chapter in the history of medical device manufacturers has been reviewed in detail in the Medical Scientific Statement of the Senior Science Editor and chairs the subcommittee ECC.IC Biphasic waveforms defibrillator is conditionally acceptable — regardless of the initial shock energy level used. Here are observations that will put the racing clock into perspective. Some experts have observed that a significant amount of time and money is spent on the development of new defibrillation waveforms, new antiarrhythmics, innovative vasopressors, and fresh approaches to ventilation and oxygenation. The total combined effect on the survival of this intervention equates to nothing more than cutting the interval from collapse to defibrillator shock by 2 (Figure 2) Figure 1Non-VF/VT. ILCOR's recommendation is to consider non-VF/VT rhythms as a single rhythm when a patient is in cardiac arrest. Consider non-VF/VT as asystole or PEA. Treatments in the algorithm are the same for both: epinephrine, atropine, transcutaneous pacing. Electrical activity on the monitor screen is a more positive rhythm than asystole. Later in this discussion PEA and asystole are presented in more detail. Both rhythms have differential diagnoses in terms of what entities can produce PEA and asystole rhythms. Respondents should aggressively evaluate PEA victims to find potential reversible causes. There are narrow diagnostic intervals of only a few minutes on PEA discovery. Asystole, on the other hand, is rarely saved unless reversible causes (e.g., severe hyperkalemia, fenofibrate overdoses) are found. Only occasionally does asystole respond to epinephrine in higher doses, atropine, or pacing, as patients are only destined to die, given the nature of the original rainfall event.4 (Figure 2)Secondary ABCD Survey. Use of vasopressor: epinephrine for non-VF/VT, vasopressin for VF reflector. This section of the algorithm makes the same points about persistent capture of VF/VT and non-VF/VT as ILCOR Universal Algorithms. The ECC Comprehensive Algorithm, however, uses the help of Secondary ABCD Survey memory, a device that is repeated across all heart attack algorithms. Note the algorithm expanded on these concepts.5 (Figure 2)Possible reversible causes. Sudden VF/VT capture is very easy in their management. Management consists of initial defibrillation, which can succeed independently of other interventions and independently discover the cause of arrhythmias. However, with non-VF/VT capture, successful spontaneous pulse recovery is almost entirely dependent on recognizing and treating potentially reversible causes. As mémoire's aide, Figure 1 places the following list, referred to as 5 H's and 5 T's, in the algorithmic layout: 5 H Hypovolemia/Hypoxia/Hydrogen ions (acidosis)Hyperkalemia/hypokalemia and metabolic disordersHypothermia/hyperthermiaThe 5 T Toxins/succillid drugsTamponade, heart tension pneumothoraxThrombosis, coronaryThrombosis, PneuFigure 2, Comprehensive ECC Algorithm, expands the table of reversible causes by listing possible therapeutic interventions next to each potential cause. Consider: Do any of the following conditions play a role? Hypovolemia (volume infusion)Hypoxia (oxygen, ventilation)Hydrogen ions—acidosis (buffer, ventilation)Hyperkalemia (CaCl plus others)Hypothermia (see Hypothermia Algorithm in Part 8)TAblets (drug overdoses, accident)Tamponade, heart (perikarditis)Tension pneumothorax (decompression—need oxygen (fibrinolytics)Thrombosis, lung (fibrinolytics, surgical evacuation)End Algorithm Notes Newly Recommended Agent: Vasopressin for VF/VT/People knowledgeable about the ACLS recommendations during the 1990s will soon notice that recommendations for vasoconstrictor are vasopressin, epinephrine, and lidocaine. The first 3 algorithms—the Universal ILCOR algorithm, the Comprehensive ECC Algorithm, and the Ventricular Fibrillation—each contain the same recommendation for vasopressin as the epinephrine equivalent adrenergic agent for VF/VT heart attacks. This is one of the most important new recommendations in the International Guidelines 2000. Vasopressin, a natural substance of antidiuretic hormones, becomes a powerful vasoconstrictor when used at much higher doses than is usually found in the body. Vasopressin has a positive effect that duplicates the positive effects of epinephrine. Vasopressin does not duplicate the adverse effects of epinephrine. (See Pharmacology II: An Agent for Optimizing Cardiac Output and Blood Pressure for more detailed materials on vasopressin.) Vasopressin received a Class IIB recommendation (acceptable, harmless, supported by fair evidence) from an international panel of experts on adrenergic. Note that vasopressin is recommended as a single dose 1 time in humans. Vasopressin requires less administration because vasopressin 10 to 20 minutes half life is much greater than the half-part epinephrine of 3 to 5 minutes. After a single dose of vasopressin, the algorithm allows a return to the epinephrine if there is no clinical response to vasopressin. Returning to epinephrine has no specific human evidence to provide support, although at least 1 clinical trial in Europe is underway. In an informal poll of experts on the adrenergic panel, everyone received this recommendation to return to epinephrine after 10 to 20 minutes. (The possibility of a second dose of vasopressin in 10 to 20 minutes is discussed and seems rational. However, this is listed as an Inde certain Class recommendation because we lack research in humans that addresses this question.) The rather inappropriate timeframe between the dose of vasopressin and subsequent administration of epinephrine allows flexibility in decisions about when to give the next adrenergic. The dilemma is: give too quickly and cause adverse effects of excessive vasopressin; give late and the probability of a positive result vanishes. Primary and Secondary ABCD SurveysIn some locations, especially in courses for ACLS providers, learners are taught memory assistance called Primary and Secondary ABCD Surveys. These 8 measures apply to all cardiovascular cardiopulmonary emergencies. The course director created an ABCD survey to help ACLS providers remember special action measures. By memorizing 2 surveys, ACLS students learn certain actions in a specific order. Surveys use the familiar mnemonics of the first 4 letters of the alphabet, and they retain the traditional actions associated with those 4 letters:A=AirwayB=BreathingC=CirculationD=Defibrillation (or Differential Diagnosis in Secondary ABCD Surveys)Since repetition is a well-documented aid for learning, Primary and Secondary ABCD surveys repeated other algorithms: VF/VT, PEA, and asystole. Figure 3, VF/VT without pulse, conveys more details about Secondary ABCD Survey A—Airway control with endotracheal intubation = Breathing effectively (verification with confirmation of proper primary and secondary tube placement) = Circulate with IV lines, monitoring ECG access to circulation through IV lines, and then the administration of a rhythmic drug = Differentiate Diagnosis (Review for consider differential diagnosis) Improve the resuscitation team's performance. With reassurance of critical findings that interfere with this underlying the validity and credibility of study scores are the resuscitation team will identify more heart attacks with reversible causes. While we have no evidence to support the use of this memory aid, its use has a strong common sense appeal. The Secondary ABCD survey in Figure 3 states perhaps the most important new recommendations for out-of-hospital providers. We make stronger and more explicit recommendations to confirm the placement of tracheal tubes. We recommend that resuscitation personnel take special measures to prevent removal of the tube after the correct initial placement. From 1999 to 2000, publications on child resuscitation outside the hospital documented high levels of tube removal. The researchers found that on arrival and evaluation at the Emergency Department, 8% to 12% of tracheal tubes were in the esophagus or hypopharynx. Given the study's design, the researchers were unable to determine whether this possibly deadly error was caused by incorrect initial tube placement or dislodged after placement. This information has raised concerns that ACLS providers may be doing undetected damage while making our most critical interventions. Figure 3: VF/Pulseless VTFigure 3 includes VF/VT treatment without pulse in more depth than Numbers 1 and 2. Figure 3 was created as a teaching tool to convey specific details about primary and secondary ABCD surveys. The treatments described in Figures 1, 2, and 3 are identical: CPR, defibrillation if VF/VT, advanced airway control, intravenous access, rhythmic medications. Always Assume VF (Figure 1 through 3)Note that Figure 1, ILCOR Universal ACLS Algorithm, Figure 2, ECC Comprehensive Algorithm, and Figure 3, Ventricular Fibrillation/Pulseless VT Algorithm, state this teaching unequivocally: lifesavers should assume that all sudden adult heart attacks are caused by VF/VT without pulse. Therefore, all training efforts place a strong emphasis on recognition and treatment of VF/VT without pulse. Proper treatment with initial defibrillator shock allows the VF/VT without pulse to provide the majority of adult heart attack survivors. MATURE EMS systems, such as SeattleKing County, Washington, USA, have been collecting data for >25 years. Year after year VF/VT contributes 85% to 95% of survivors. Energy Levels for Waveforms Shock and Defibrillation Biphasic Biphasic Appearance Defibrillators have generated great enthusiasm in the resuscitation community. Reaching out to EMS organizations in 1996, the first biphasic defibrillator approved for the market surprised only at energy levels 1, about 170 J. Competitive market forces caused considerable controversy over the efficacy of biphasic wave shocks in general and no energy levels in particular. This unusual chapter in the history of medical device manufacturers has been reviewed in detail in the Medical Scientific Statement of the Senior Science Editor and chairs the subcommittee ECC.IC Biphasic waveforms defibrillator is conditionally acceptable — regardless of the initial shock energy level used. Here are observations that will put the racing clock into perspective. Some experts have observed that a significant amount of time and money is spent on the development of new defibrillation waveforms, new antiarrhythmics, innovative vasopressors, and fresh approaches to ventilation and oxygenation. The total combined effect on the survival of this intervention equates to nothing more than cutting the interval from collapse to defibrillator shock by 2 (Figure 2) Figure 1Non-VF/VT. ILCOR's recommendation is to consider non-VF/VT rhythms as a single rhythm when a patient is in cardiac arrest. Consider non-VF/VT as asystole or PEA. Treatments in the algorithm are the same for both: epinephrine, atropine, transcutaneous pacing. Electrical activity on the monitor screen is a more positive rhythm than asystole. Later in this discussion PEA and asystole are presented in more detail. Both rhythms have differential diagnoses in terms of what entities can produce PEA and asystole rhythms. Respondents should aggressively evaluate PEA victims to find potential reversible causes. There are narrow diagnostic intervals of only a few minutes on PEA discovery. Asystole, on the other hand, is rarely saved unless reversible causes (e.g., severe hyperkalemia, fenofibrate overdoses) are found. Only occasionally does asystole respond to epinephrine in higher doses, atropine, or pacing, as patients are only destined to die, given the nature of the original rainfall event.4 (Figure 2)Secondary ABCD Survey. Use of vasopressor: epinephrine for non-VF/VT, vasopressin for VF reflector. This section of the algorithm makes the same points about persistent capture of VF/VT and non-VF/VT as ILCOR Universal Algorithms. The ECC Comprehensive Algorithm, however, uses the help of Secondary ABCD Survey memory, a device that is repeated across all heart attack algorithms. Note the algorithm expanded on these concepts.5 (Figure 2)Possible reversible causes. Sudden VF/VT capture is very easy in their management. Management consists of initial defibrillation, which can succeed independently of other interventions and independently discover the cause of arrhythmias. However, with non-VF/VT capture, successful spontaneous pulse recovery is almost entirely dependent on recognizing and treating potentially reversible causes. As mémoire's aide, Figure 1 places the following list, referred to as 5 H's and 5 T's, in the algorithmic layout: 5 H Hypovolemia/Hypoxia/Hydrogen ions (acidosis)Hyperkalemia/hypokalemia and metabolic disordersHypothermia/hyperthermiaThe 5 T Toxins/succillid drugsTamponade, heart tension pneumothoraxThrombosis, coronaryThrombosis, PneuFigure 2, Comprehensive ECC Algorithm, expands the table of reversible causes by listing possible therapeutic interventions next to each potential cause. Consider: Do any of the following conditions play a role? Hypovolemia (volume infusion)Hypoxia (oxygen, ventilation)Hydrogen ions—acidosis (buffer, ventilation)Hyperkalemia (CaCl plus others)Hypothermia (see Hypothermia Algorithm in Part 8)TAblets (drug overdoses, accident)Tamponade, heart (perikarditis)Tension pneumothorax (decompression—need oxygen (fibrinolytics)Thrombosis, lung (fibrinolytics, surgical evacuation)End Algorithm Notes Newly Recommended Agent: Vasopressin for VF/VT/People knowledgeable about the ACLS recommendations during the 1990s will soon notice that recommendations for vasoconstrictor are vasopressin, epinephrine, and lidocaine. The first 3 algorithms—the Universal ILCOR algorithm, the Comprehensive ECC Algorithm, and the Ventricular Fibrillation—each contain the same recommendation for vasopressin as the epinephrine equivalent adrenergic agent for VF/VT heart attacks. This is one of the most important new recommendations in the International Guidelines 2000. Vasopressin, a natural substance of antidiuretic hormones, becomes a powerful vasoconstrictor when used at much higher doses than is usually found in the body. Vasopressin has a positive effect that duplicates the positive effects of epinephrine. Vasopressin does not duplicate the adverse effects of epinephrine. (See Pharmacology II: An Agent for Optimizing Cardiac Output and Blood Pressure for more detailed materials on vasopressin.) Vasopressin received a Class IIB recommendation (acceptable, harmless, supported by fair evidence) from an international panel of experts on adrenergic. Note that vasopressin is recommended as a single dose 1 time in humans. Vasopressin requires less administration because vasopressin 10 to 20 minutes half life is much greater than the half-part epinephrine of 3 to 5 minutes. After a single dose of vasopressin, the algorithm allows a return to the epinephrine if there is no clinical response to vasopressin. Returning to epinephrine has no specific human evidence to provide support, although at